

## EDIT - task #9300

### Name Page: harmonize media specimen lectotype representations

11/13/2020 03:10 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker

<b>Status:</b>	In Progress	<b>Start date:</b>	
<b>Priority:</b>	Highest	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Andreas Kohlbecker	<b>% Done:</b>	10%
<b>Category:</b>	cdm-dataportal	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0:00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	Release 5.46		
<b>Severity:</b>	normal		
<b>Description</b>			
The name <i>Heterocapsa triquetra</i> f. <i>apiculata</i> Er.Lindem. has a media specimen as lectotype.			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The "designated by ..." is set in parenthesis and precedes the media specimen*</li><li>• The [icon] fig. 11 information is missing</li></ul>			
<a href="https://www.phycobank.org/cdm_dataportal/name/d0bae54a-607b-43f0-be9d-54027c4f32a0/null/null/">https://www.phycobank.org/cdm_dataportal/name/d0bae54a-607b-43f0-be9d-54027c4f32a0/null/null/</a>			
Today we (Andreas K, Andreas M & Henning) decided that it might be better to let the "designated by ..." follow the specimen information so according to the following scheme:			
<code>&lt;specimen representation&gt; Designated by &lt;Bibliographic short citation&gt;: [icon] &lt;citation detail&gt;</code>			
the final string in the example above will be			
<b>Lectotype</b> Turkey, Mediterranean Sea, Marmara, Istanbul, Golden Horn, May 1918. Designated by Gottschling, M., Tillmann, U., Kusber, W.-H. & al. 2019: [icon] fig. 11			
Once completed the ticket should be passed to Henning for review.			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Related to EDIT - bug #9302: Phycobank related issues for TypeDesignation for...		<b>In Progress</b>	
Has duplicate EDIT - task #8546: type specimen editor: published in vs. desig...		<b>New</b>	

## History

### #1 - 11/13/2020 03:13 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker

- Description updated
- Status changed from New to Feedback
- Assignee changed from Andreas Kohlbecker to Wolf-Henning Kusber
- Priority changed from New to Highest

Since the Citation part will end with a dot character, it seems incorrect to write "designated by", it better should start with an uppercase character.

Do you agree, Henning?

### #2 - 11/13/2020 05:16 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker

- Has duplicate task #8546: type specimen editor: published in vs. designated by added

### #3 - 11/14/2020 08:11 PM - Andreas Müller

- Related to bug #9302: Phycobank related issues for TypeDesignation formatting added

### #4 - 11/14/2020 08:15 PM - Andreas Müller

Andreas Kohlbecker wrote:

Since the Citation part will end with a dot character, it seems incorrect to write "designated by", it better should start with an uppercase character.

Do you agree, Henning?

To me it seems like the dot at the end is there only to end the previous sentence but the designated by could be part of this sentence so it might be better to remove the dot instead of starting with capital letter

**#5 - 01/19/2021 10:42 AM - Wolf-Henning Kusber**

Nein, stimme nicht zu

**#6 - 01/19/2021 10:44 AM - Andreas Kohlbecker**

- Assignee changed from Wolf-Henning Kusber to Andreas Kohlbecker

**#7 - 01/19/2021 11:49 AM - Andreas Kohlbecker**

Wolf-Henning Kusber wrote:

Nein, stimme nicht zu

after an extensive discussion we concluded the following interim result:

1. remove dot
2. write "designated by" with lowercase 'd'

**#8 - 01/19/2021 11:55 AM - Andreas Müller**

so should we change status to "in progress" as questions are solved?

**#9 - 01/19/2021 12:11 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker**

Andreas Müller wrote:

so should we change status to "in progress" as questions are solved?

the conclusion is only a preliminar result and maybe not the final solution, since this is part of a broader discussion which is ongoing, therefore I think "feedback" is not wrong per se.

**#10 - 01/29/2021 10:59 AM - Andreas Kohlbecker**

- Target version changed from Release 5.19 to Release 5.21

**#11 - 03/01/2021 04:28 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker**

- Target version changed from Release 5.21 to Release 5.22

**#12 - 04/15/2021 03:09 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker**

- Target version changed from Release 5.22 to Release 5.25

**#13 - 05/11/2021 05:20 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker**

- Target version changed from Release 5.25 to Release 5.45

**#14 - 05/11/2021 06:26 PM - Andreas Müller**

Andreas Kohlbecker wrote:

Andreas Müller wrote:

so should we change status to "in progress" as questions are solved?

the conclusion is only a preliminar result and maybe not the final solution, since this is part of a broader discussion which is ongoing, therefor I think "feedback" is not wrong per se.

I think generally we should use the same formatting as we use in the TypeDesignationWorkingSetFormatter. There, as far as I know, we also do not use the "dot" and use lower case "designated by". The improved formatting for media specimen types has been handled here ([#9597](#)) also.

So, if we use TypeDesignationWorkingSetFormatter we can handle all the formatting issues there and when ever new user requirements arise we may handle the issues there and keep them in separate tickets.

**#15 - 01/20/2022 03:29 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker**

- Target version changed from Release 5.45 to Release 5.46

**#16 - 02/22/2022 10:09 AM - Andreas Müller**

- Description updated

**#17 - 02/22/2022 10:12 AM - Andreas Müller**

- Status changed from Feedback to In Progress

- % Done changed from 0 to 10