

## EDIT - feature request #8906

### Refactor DNA Sample details view

03/26/2020 11:24 AM - Katja Luther

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	
<b>Priority:</b>	Highest	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Katja Luther	<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>	taxeditor	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0:00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	Release 5.14		
<b>Severity:</b>	normal		
<b>Description</b>			
The DNA Sample details view is not handled like the other derived units by using the derivedUnitFacade.			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Related to EDIT - bug #8910: Correct handling of preference for determinations		<b>Closed</b>	
Related to EDIT - bug #8946: Refactor DerivedUnit Details view		<b>New</b>	

#### Associated revisions

##### Revision 21938b11 - 04/01/2020 11:40 AM - Katja Luther

fix #8906: adapt dnaSample details view creation to other derived units

##### Revision c70ef402 - 04/08/2020 12:46 PM - Katja Luther

fix #8906: show determinations only if preference allow it

#### History

##### #1 - 03/26/2020 11:56 AM - Katja Luther

- Subject changed from Refactor DNA Samole details view to Refactor DNA Sample details view

##### #2 - 03/26/2020 12:02 PM - Andreas Müller

Especially determinations are missing there.

##### #3 - 03/26/2020 02:31 PM - Andreas Müller

By the way the derivedUnitFacace was originally meant to work with the Specimen list editor. For the tree editor it does not really make sense to use the facade so we should discuss how to get away from it.

##### #4 - 03/26/2020 02:32 PM - Andreas Müller

- Tags set to additivity

- Target version changed from Unassigned CDM tickets to Release 5.14

As this ticket is important for cleaning up additivity data I put it to the current milestone.

##### #5 - 03/27/2020 09:25 AM - Katja Luther

Andreas Müller wrote:

By the way the derivedUnitFacace was originally meant to work with the Specimen list editor. For the tree editor it does not really make sense to use the facade so we should discuss how to get away from it.

This ticket is about the details view of dnaSample, for the tree editor there is another dto.

##### #6 - 03/27/2020 09:37 AM - Andreas Müller

Katja Luther wrote:

Andreas Müller wrote:

By the way the derivedUnitFacace was originally meant to work with the Specimen list editor. For the tree editor it does not really make sense to use the facade so we should discuss how to get away from it.

This ticket is about the details view of dnaSample, for the tree editor there is another dto.

Yes, sorry, my comment was a bit short. Of course, I was also talking about the details view, but the details view should depend on the editor you use. In the list editor you must use a facade based details view as the list editor represents multiple records simplified by the facade. In the tree editor 1 "row" handles only 1 DB record (+ maybe the according DerivationEvent). Therefore here using a facade does not make sense. That's all I wanted to mention as I was not sure if you had followed the according discussion as the tree editor was developed by Patrick originally.

**#7 - 03/27/2020 10:43 AM - Katja Luther**

Andreas Müller wrote:

Katja Luther wrote:

Andreas Müller wrote:

By the way the derivedUnitFacade was originally meant to work with the Specimen list editor. For the tree editor it does not really make sense to use the facade so we should discuss how to get away from it.

This ticket is about the details view of dnaSample, for the tree editor there is another dto.

Yes, sorry, my comment was a bit short. Of course, I was also talking about the details view, but the details view should depend on the editor you use. In the list editor you must use a facade based details view as the list editor represents multiple records simplified by the facade. In the tree editor 1 "row" handles only 1 DB record (+ maybe the according DerivationEvent). Therefore here using a facade does not make sense. That's all I wanted to mention as I was not sure if you had followed the according discussion as the tree editor was developed by Patrick originally.

Ah, now I got it, for all derived units initially the facade is created and if selection providing part is the tree editor a flag is set to use only the derived unit...

**#8 - 04/01/2020 11:34 AM - Katja Luther**

- Status changed from New to Resolved

Now, the dna sample details view is handled analogue to the other derived units. So the determination can be shown, now.

But we should create a new ticket to think about refactoring the details view of specimen in list and tree editor.

**#9 - 04/01/2020 11:39 AM - Katja Luther**

- % Done changed from 0 to 50

Applied in changeset [taxeditor|21938b114f742509677d4d883f91f0883dc70683](#).

**#10 - 04/01/2020 11:40 AM - Katja Luther**

- Assignee changed from Katja Luther to Andreas Müller

- % Done changed from 50 to 0

**#11 - 04/07/2020 11:43 PM - Andreas Müller**

- Related to bug #8910: Correct handling of preference for determinations added

**#12 - 04/07/2020 11:48 PM - Andreas Müller**

See [#8910#note-5](#) for a possible regression

**#13 - 04/08/2020 09:48 AM - Andreas Müller**

- % Done changed from 0 to 30

Katja Luther wrote:

But we should create a new ticket to think about refactoring the details view of specimen in list and tree editor.

Can you create such a ticket?

**#14 - 04/08/2020 09:50 AM - Andreas Müller**

For reviewing: is there anything else that is new or changed in the DNA details view beside determinations?

**#15 - 04/08/2020 11:24 AM - Andreas Müller**

- Status changed from Resolved to Feedback

- Assignee changed from Andreas Müller to Katja Luther

Determinations work now can we still add "Accession number" below "Barcode" as this is the default id field for imported DNA data?

**#16 - 04/08/2020 11:30 AM - Andreas Müller**

As also commented in [#8910](#) the show determinations only for field units preference does not yet work for the new DNA Sample determinations.

**#17 - 04/08/2020 12:50 PM - Katja Luther**

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved

- % Done changed from 30 to 50

Applied in changeset [taxeditor|c70ef402e57300c8b5f597afbb6a747ce78ccd3c](#).

**#18 - 04/08/2020 12:52 PM - Katja Luther**

- Status changed from Resolved to Feedback

- % Done changed from 50 to 30

Andreas Müller wrote:

Determinations work now can we still add "Accession number" below "Barcode" as this is the default id field for imported DNA data?

this is fixed.

**#19 - 04/08/2020 12:52 PM - Katja Luther**

Andreas Müller wrote:

As also commented in [#8910](#) the show determinations only for field units preference does not yet work for the new DNA Sample determinations.

this is fixed, too.

**#20 - 04/08/2020 12:56 PM - Katja Luther**

- Related to bug #8946: Refactor DerivedUnit Details view added

**#21 - 04/08/2020 12:56 PM - Katja Luther**

Andreas Müller wrote:

Katja Luther wrote:

But we should create a new ticket to think about refactoring the details view of specimen in list and tree editor.

Can you create such a ticket?

this is [#8946](#)

**#22 - 04/08/2020 12:57 PM - Katja Luther**

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved

- Assignee changed from Katja Luther to Andreas Müller

please review

**#23 - 04/08/2020 02:12 PM - Andreas Müller**

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

- Assignee changed from Andreas Müller to Katja Luther
- % Done changed from 30 to 100

Works as expected