

EDIT - task #8598

Prevent from adding type designations to names with informal ranks

10/17/2019 11:20 AM - Andreas Kohlbecker

Status:	New	Start date:	
Priority:	New	Due date:	
Assignee:	Katja Luther	% Done:	0%
Category:	taxeditor	Estimated time:	0:00 hour
Target version:	Unassigned CDM tickets		
Severity:	normal		
Description			
Adding typedesignations to names with informal ranks (species groups and aggregates) should be suppressed, whereas removal and editing of existing type designations should still be possible.			
[reported by Walter in Manual-EDIT-Platform-v.1.19b.docx]			

History

#1 - 10/21/2019 11:01 AM - Andreas Müller

Not sure if we should implement this.

1. In E+M there were very rare cases of such names with nom. ref. (so there might be exceptions)
2. If such taxa should have no type designation the taxonomist should know this anyway, so the only advantage of implementing this might be that there is 1 section less in the UI. As aggregates are rare anyway it will not help much. If we decide to implement it might have low priority

We might implement a validation rule that may indicate wrong data.

#2 - 10/22/2019 03:22 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker

Andreas Müller wrote:

Not sure if we should implement this.

1. In E+M there were very rare cases of such names with nom. ref. (so there might be exceptions)

Oha, good and very important point!

1. If such taxa should have no type designation the taxonomist should know this anyway, so the only advantage of implementing this might be that there is 1 section less in the UI. As aggregates are rare anyway it will not help much. If we decide to implement it might have low priority

We might implement a validation rule that may indicate wrong data.

So, I fully agree with you in all points. I am ok with closing this issue as rejected if not Walter is having strong rejections against this step.