## EDIT - task #8130

# Check if related feature data is cleared when supported facts type is changed

02/22/2019 01:16 PM - Patrick Plitzner

Status: Start date: In Progress **Priority:** Due date: Highest % Done: Assignee: Katja Luther 0% **Estimated time:** 0:00 hour Category: taxeditor Target version: Release 5.46 Severity: normal

## Description

For example:

- 1. Set a feature to support quantitative data and assign recommended measurement units, statistical measures and recommended modifiers
- 2. Change the feature to not support quantitative data
- 3. Check if the recommended measurement units, statistical measures and recommended modifiers are removed from the term.

Update:

It is **not** removed when doing this.

### History

#### #1 - 02/22/2019 01:34 PM - Andreas Müller

We may want to implement hard or soft validation for this. Maybe also an update script to remove existing data (if it exists).

#### #2 - 03/06/2019 10:07 AM - Patrick Plitzner

- Status changed from New to In Progress

### #3 - 03/06/2019 10:13 AM - Patrick Plitzner

- Description updated

### #4 - 03/06/2019 10:14 AM - Patrick Plitzner

- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback
- Assignee changed from Patrick Plitzner to Andreas Müller

Andreas Müller wrote:

We may want to implement hard or soft validation for this. Maybe also an update script to remove existing data (if it exists).

@Andreas: Should we convert this into a cdm ticket? This would best be done on model level, wouldn't it?

#### #5 - 03/06/2019 10:43 AM - Andreas Müller

- Subject changed from Check if related term data is cleared when changing term type to Check if related feature data is cleared when supported facts type is changed

### #6 - 03/06/2019 10:44 AM - Andreas Müller

- Description updated

## #7 - 03/06/2019 10:49 AM - Andreas Müller

- Assignee changed from Andreas Müller to Katja Luther

Katja, could you please check how we do these "model validations" in terms of e.g. nomenclatural code changes in TaxonName. I think there is also at least 1 more place where we do this. We should define a common strategy how to handle these in Uls. Additionally we should define (soft?) validations to avoid persisting such unwanted states.

03/20/2024 1/3

#### #8 - 03/12/2019 08:11 AM - Katja Luther

For nomenclatural code there is a method checkForDeletableParts() where the deletable parts set to null.

But for ranks the parts are kept but not shown any more. We should discuss if this is wanted behaviour.

#### #9 - 03/18/2019 02:12 PM - Katja Luther

- Assignee changed from Katja Luther to Patrick Plitzner

#### #10 - 04/10/2019 05:52 PM - Patrick Plitzner

- Target version changed from Release 5.6 to Release 5.7

moved to 5.7

#### #11 - 05/03/2019 11:30 AM - Patrick Plitzner

- Target version changed from Release 5.7 to Release 5.8

#### #12 - 07/15/2019 03:27 PM - Patrick Plitzner

- Target version changed from Release 5.8 to Release 5.10

Move to next milestone for remaining discussion

#### #13 - 09/18/2019 03:43 PM - Patrick Plitzner

- Target version changed from Release 5.10 to Release 5.11

Move to next milestone for remaining discussion

#### #14 - 11/07/2019 10:10 AM - Patrick Plitzner

- Target version changed from Release 5.11 to Release 5.12

Move to next milestone for remaining discussion

# #15 - 12/17/2019 04:07 PM - Andreas Müller

- Assignee changed from Patrick Plitzner to Katja Luther

### #16 - 01/16/2020 11:29 AM - Andreas Müller

- Target version changed from Release 5.12 to Release 5.13

I guess this is not yet fixed.

## #17 - 03/05/2020 11:39 AM - Andreas Müller

can you please check and set the correct status for the ticket?

### #18 - 03/05/2020 11:41 AM - Katja Luther

- Status changed from Feedback to In Progress

I think the status should be In process and we should discuss how it should be handled in general because it should be handled the same way everywhere.

### #19 - 03/10/2020 09:58 AM - Katja Luther

- Target version changed from Release 5.13 to Release 5.14

## #20 - 04/01/2020 09:03 AM - Katja Luther

- Target version changed from Release 5.14 to Release 5.15

### #21 - 06/24/2020 06:56 AM - Katja Luther

- Target version changed from Release 5.15 to Release 5.18

we need to decide how to handle this.

03/20/2024 2/3

## #22 - 12/04/2020 09:09 AM - Katja Luther

- Target version changed from Release 5.18 to Release 5.19

## #23 - 01/27/2021 04:46 PM - Andreas Müller

- Target version changed from Release 5.19 to Release 5.21

## #24 - 03/11/2021 09:05 AM - Katja Luther

- Target version changed from Release 5.21 to Release 5.22

## #25 - 04/19/2021 04:28 PM - Katja Luther

- Target version changed from Release 5.22 to Release 5.46

03/20/2024 3/3