

EDIT - task #5856

[DISCUSS] Consider implementing additional name relationships

06/02/2016 10:29 AM - Andreas Kohlbecker

Status:	Rejected	Start date:	
Priority:	New	Due date:	
Assignee:	Andreas Müller	% Done:	0%
Category:	cdm-dataportal	Estimated time:	0:00 hour
Target version:			
Severity:	normal		
Description			
This is a dataportal ticket.			
Generally we may want to implement additional name relationships. E.g. "has original spelling" is usually displayed like "Aus bus 'buus'". Maybe we need a cache strategy for this. Ask users if there are other rules like this for other relationships. => Original spellings are already handled in the TaxonName.fullTitleCache cache strategy now, the missing orig. spelling in dataportal is handled in #7781			
The usage of footnotes is discussed here #4177#note-3 and #4177#note-4 .			
The correct formatting of "is misspelling for" in synonymy is discussed here: #6523			
Also we have advanced taxon name pages now showing all relevant name information. These could be linked in footnotes or with direct links (icons) behind if further information is available or always.			
TODO			
1. Discuss with users			
(split off from #5697)			
Related issues:			
Related to EDIT - task #3967: Rethink original spelling strategy		Duplicate	
Related to EDIT - task #7890: Display of name relations in the data portal [m...		New	
Related to EDIT - bug #6297: [Overview] BGBM User group wishes 2017		New	
Related to EDIT - task #4177: display of name relationships in synonymy broken		Closed	
Related to EDIT - bug #6523: Correct display of misspellings in synonymy		In Progress	
Related to EDIT - task #6591: Use name used in source for original spelling		Closed	
Copied from EDIT - feature request #5697: Show name conserved against as [non...		Closed	

History

#1 - 02/17/2017 07:17 PM - Andreas Müller

- Related to task #3967: Rethink original spelling strategy added

#2 - 02/17/2017 08:27 PM - Andreas Müller

- Subject changed from consider implementing additional name relationships to consider implementing additional name relationships

- Description updated

#3 - 02/17/2017 08:28 PM - Andreas Müller

- Subject changed from consider implementing additional name relationships to Consider implementing additional name relationships

#5 - 11/07/2018 11:35 AM - Andreas Kohlbecker

- Related to task #7890: Display of name relations in the data portal [master ticket] added

#6 - 05/20/2019 04:41 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker

- Status changed from New to Feedback

Is this still relevant or will the original spelling relation be removed anyway in turn of solving [#3967](#)?

#7 - 05/20/2019 04:41 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker

- Private changed from Yes to No

#8 - 06/05/2019 11:03 AM - Andreas Müller

- Copied from feature request #5697: Show name conserved against as [non xxx] added

#9 - 06/05/2019 11:03 AM - Andreas Müller

- Related to bug #6297: [Overview] BGBM User group wishes 2017 added

#10 - 06/05/2019 11:04 AM - Andreas Müller

- Subject changed from Consider implementing additional name relationships to [DISCUSS] Consider implementing additional name relationships

- Status changed from Feedback to New

Needs to be discussed. No action needed before.

#11 - 06/27/2019 02:17 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker

- Parent task deleted (#4177)

#12 - 06/27/2019 02:18 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker

- Related to task #4177: display of name relationships in synonymy broken added

#13 - 07/19/2019 08:27 AM - Andreas Müller

- Description updated

#14 - 07/19/2019 09:28 AM - Andreas Müller

- Related to bug #6523: Correct display of misspellings in synonymy added

#15 - 07/19/2019 10:04 AM - Andreas Müller

- Description updated

#16 - 11/27/2020 12:24 PM - Andreas Müller

- Description updated

#17 - 11/27/2020 12:24 PM - Andreas Müller

- Related to task #6591: Use name used in source for original spelling added

#18 - 11/27/2020 12:36 PM - Andreas Müller

- Description updated

- Status changed from New to Rejected

- Target version deleted (Unassigned CDM tickets)

So I mixed it up. This is on implementation in **dataportal**.

However, I think if name relationships are all shown on the name pages we can close this ticket. There specific issues there are other tickets already. Please reopen if you think there is something open not handled elsewhere.