EDIT - feature request #5640

[DISCUSS] Do we need a general "non" name relationship

03/11/2016 03:53 PM - Andreas Müller

Status:	Duplicate	**Start date:**	
Priority:	Highest	**Due date:**	
Assignee:	Andreas Müller	**% Done:**	0%
Category:	cdm	**Estimated time:**	0:00 hour
Target version:	Release 5.25		
Severity:	normal		

Description

Some name relationships do not really match the existing relationships.

E.g. “Andropogon wrightii” nom. nud. (non Andropogon wrightii Hack.) can probably not be handled with the current name relationships. Also the blocking name relationship needs to be discussed as we usually have only the original name, the replacement name and the blocking name. The blocked name usually does not exist as it is illegitimate and therefore never published. However we often want to show that the replacement name is a non-blocking name

duplicates #5655

Related issues:

Related to EDIT - feature request #9698: Make name relationship filter a DB p...	**New**
Is duplicate of EDIT - feature request #5655: [DISCUSS] Do we need further "n...	**Closed**

Associated revisions

Revision c7c43b5b - 07/06/2021 10:35 AM - Andreas Müller

ref #5655, ref #5640 unspecific 'non' NameRelationshipType added

History

#1 - 06/30/2021 09:40 PM - Andreas Müller

- Description updated

#2 - 06/30/2021 09:41 PM - Andreas Müller

- Is duplicate of feature request #5655: [DISCUSS] Do we need further "non xxx" relations? added

#3 - 06/30/2021 09:41 PM - Andreas Müller

- Target version changed from Unassigned CDM tickets to Release 5.25

#4 - 06/30/2021 10:15 PM - Andreas Müller

- Private changed from Yes to No

#5 - 07/06/2021 10:40 AM - Andreas Müller

- Related to feature request #9698: Make name relationship filter a DB preference added

#6 - 07/06/2021 10:41 AM - Andreas Müller

- Status changed from New to Duplicate

- Priority changed from New to Highest