

EDIT - feature request #3746

Implement time period scope for description elements in TaxEditor

09/27/2013 04:05 PM - Andreas Müller

Status:	Closed	Start date:	
Priority:	Highest	Due date:	
Assignee:	Katja Luther	% Done:	100%
Category:	taxeditor	Estimated time:	0:00 hour
Target version:	Release 5.0		
Severity:	normal		
Description also modifiers and modifyingText is still missing there. The later should be available only for NON-TextData- => handled in #3836 see #3312 , #3747 duplicated by #3615			
Related issues: Related to EDIT - feature request #3747: Check if time period is handled corr... Closed Related to EDIT - feature request #3312: Add time period scope to Description... Closed Related to EDIT - feature request #3836: Implement modifiers and modifying te... Closed Related to EDIT - feature request #3616: Show DescriptionElementBase.timeperi... Closed Copied to EDIT - feature request #7394: parsing behaviour and layout of the p... New			

Associated revisions

Revision e8a69e3a - 04/27/2018 02:31 PM - Katja Luther

fix #3746: move time period down in details view

Revision 8f3ebbd4 - 05/02/2018 09:54 AM - Katja Luther

ref #3746: fix not saving period data in details view

History

#1 - 01/14/2014 01:46 PM - Cherian Mathew

- Target version deleted (TaxEditor CDM 3.3)

#2 - 03/28/2014 11:11 AM - Andreas Kohlbecker

- Target version deleted ()

no release 3.3.1 of the taxeditor so I am moving all tickets to the next milestone 3.3.2

#3 - 11/24/2014 01:29 PM - Cherian Mathew

- Target version deleted (TaxEditor RELEASE 3.4.0)

Moving tickets to 3.4.1 milestone

#4 - 03/16/2015 05:32 PM - Cherian Mathew

- Target version deleted ()

Moving tickets that were auto assigned to me to the unassigned milestone

#5 - 01/18/2018 02:16 PM - Andreas Müller

- Description updated

- Assignee changed from Cherian Mathew to Katja Luther

- Target version changed from Unassigned CDM tickets to Release 4.13

We may now need DescriptionElementBase timeperiods for flowering time features.

#6 - 01/18/2018 02:17 PM - Andreas Müller

- Related to feature request #3747: Check if time period is handled correctly for description elements in data portals added

#7 - 01/18/2018 02:17 PM - Andreas Müller

- Related to feature request #3312: Add time period scope to DescriptionElementBase added

#9 - 01/18/2018 02:20 PM - Andreas Müller

RH:

nach jahrelanger Pause wollen wir für Zypern mal wieder ein Datenset erfassen und es im Portal darstellen, die Blühzeiten der Arten.

Im Prinzip gibt es zwei Herangehensweisen. Entweder wir machen es „primitiv“ und füllen irgendwelche Freitextfelder aus, etwa mit „January-May(June)“ oder ähnlich. Oder wir erfassen die Monate strukturiert in Tabellenform und zeigen das wie auch immer geartet graphisch an (habe mal eine primitive Farbleiste angehängt mit Haupt- und Nebenzeiten dunkel/hell). Da gibt es sicherlich noch elegantere Lösungen.

#10 - 01/18/2018 02:21 PM - Andreas Müller

- Private changed from Yes to No

#11 - 01/31/2018 12:22 PM - Andreas Müller

- Target version changed from Release 4.13 to Release 4.14

#12 - 02/15/2018 03:23 PM - Andreas Müller

- Target version changed from Release 4.14 to Release 5.0

#13 - 03/09/2018 09:25 PM - Andreas Müller

- Priority changed from New to Highest

This is needed for cyprus therefore I put it to highest

#14 - 03/14/2018 04:12 PM - Katja Luther

The time period should be displayed for all kind of features?

I think for some it is not necessary, for example common names... or categorical data.
maybe we also should add this to the Feature class.

#15 - 03/15/2018 09:46 AM - Andreas Müller

Every information may have a time scope. A common name e.g. may have been used only in a certain century. And categorical data is only a way to handle data in a more structured way then pure TextData, the data itself may be true anyway only for a certain time.

Of course we could think about something like supportsTimeScope in Feature class but I don't think this is necessary. Maybe better we add a CdmPreference "timeScopeSupporting features" or so, so each project can decide for which features it wants to allow adding time scopes.

#16 - 04/27/2018 02:31 PM - Katja Luther

- Status changed from New to Resolved

- % Done changed from 0 to 50

Applied in changeset [taxeditor!e8a69e3a3ed9a470ae9f440631eb4cc2bbbba4658](#).

#17 - 04/27/2018 02:33 PM - Katja Luther

- Assignee changed from Katja Luther to Andreas Müller

- % Done changed from 50 to 0

this should be fixed now. the time period element is now at the end of the description element details view

#18 - 04/30/2018 04:33 PM - Andreas Müller

Some minor issues:

1. Relabel to "Time scope"
2. Leave a bit more space to the element above

3. Keep the element collapsed at start time. Only if someone really wants to edit the time scope it should be expanded.

#19 - 04/30/2018 04:41 PM - Andreas Müller

- Status changed from Resolved to Feedback

- Assignee changed from Andreas Müller to Katja Luther

Some general issue about the time period selection.

The parsing behavior is somewhat unclear. E.g.

- Enter date 1.1.2006 into "Parse" Textbox => according date shows up in label and in atomized Start textboxes
- Remove text from "Parse" => label disappears, however Start textboxes are still filled
- Change value in Start-Year to 2005 => 2005 appears in label

Looks like the the Start textboxes are not emptied correctly while the values are internally deleted already.

Please decide if this is related to the ticket or an issue for a new ticket.

#20 - 04/30/2018 04:50 PM - Andreas Müller

- File picture672-1.png added

Another minor and general issue:

The labels "Start" and "End" are not on the same hight as the according labels for "Year", "Month" and "Day". See attachment.

#21 - 04/30/2018 04:53 PM - Andreas Müller

A critical issue: the value is NOT being saved or not being reloaded when reopening the fact !!

#22 - 04/30/2018 04:53 PM - Andreas Müller

- % Done changed from 0 to 30

#23 - 05/02/2018 10:00 AM - Katja Luther

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved

- Assignee changed from Katja Luther to Andreas Müller

The saving is fixed now.

The other issues are related to the general period part so I create a new ticket for this. ([#7394](#))

#24 - 05/02/2018 10:12 AM - Andreas Müller

- Copied to feature request #7394: parsing behaviour and layout of the period part issues added

#25 - 05/02/2018 11:38 AM - Andreas Müller

- Status changed from Resolved to Feedback

- Assignee changed from Andreas Müller to Katja Luther

- % Done changed from 30 to 40

Andreas Müller wrote:

Some minor issues:

1. Relabel to "Time scope"
2. Leave a bit more space to the element above
3. Keep the element collapsed at start time. Only if someone really wants to edit the time scope it should be expanded.

The first 2 issues still seem to be open.

If possible please also reduce the empty space below label "Time period"("Time scope") by moving the atomized data part more to the left. Otherwise it easily happens that the data textboxes are wrapped.

The save seems to work now.

#26 - 05/12/2018 07:37 PM - Andreas Müller

- Related to feature request #3836: Implement modifiers and modifying text for Description Elements in TaxEditor added

#27 - 05/17/2018 04:05 PM - Katja Luther

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed
- % Done changed from 40 to 100

only the space between the time period element and the element above is still open, so I close this ticket.

#28 - 02/25/2019 05:28 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker

The output in the taxeditor can very ugly depending on the partial fields being used:

- Timescope: start month: 05 - end month 06
- representation: 5.xxxx-6.xxxx

Is this wanted?

#29 - 02/25/2019 06:01 PM - Andreas Kohlbecker

- Related to feature request #3616: Show DescriptionElementBase.timeperiod in Dataportals added

#30 - 02/25/2019 10:08 PM - Andreas Müller

Andreas Kohlbecker wrote:

The output in the taxeditor can very ugly depending on the partial fields being used:

- Timescope: start month: 05 - end month 06
- representation: 5.xxxx-6.xxxx

Is this wanted?

Not wanted but we havn't discussed yet best formatting for Partial with missing non-beginning parts. There are multiple possibilities.

Files

picture672-1.png	2.2 KB	04/30/2018	Andreas Müller
------------------	--------	------------	----------------