

EDIT - feature request #10202

Allow entering specimen in fact sources in taxeditor

12/14/2022 10:36 AM - Andreas Müller

Status:	Closed	Start date:	
Priority:	Highest	Due date:	
Assignee:	Katja Luther	% Done:	100%
Category:	taxeditor	Estimated time:	0:00 hour
Target version:	Release 5.35		
Severity:	normal		
Description			
... as an alternative to references. This was implemented in the model in #10194 .			
Maybe we should have a preference that allows swithing this feature on and off as not every project may want to use specimens as sources.			
Related issues:			
Related to EDIT - feature request #10194: Allow specimens as source for factu...		Closed	
Related to EDIT - feature request #10203: Show specimen sources in publications		New	

Associated revisions

Revision 3fe61023 - 12/16/2022 09:02 AM - Katja Luther

ref #10202: add field for specimen in description element source

Revision f04331a1 - 12/16/2022 01:42 PM - Katja Luther

ref #10202: add preference predicate

Revision f49c0c13 - 12/16/2022 01:43 PM - Katja Luther

ref #10202: add preference handling

Revision 446b26b9 - 12/20/2022 09:45 AM - Katja Luther

ref #10202: disable specimen selection or reference selection if the other possibility is already used

Revision 57204e91 - 12/21/2022 10:08 AM - Katja Luther

ref #10202: disable reference or specimen selection

History

#1 - 12/14/2022 10:36 AM - Andreas Müller

- Related to feature request #10194: Allow specimens as source for factual data added

#2 - 12/14/2022 10:39 AM - Andreas Müller

- Related to feature request #10203: Show specimen sources in publications added

#3 - 12/16/2022 09:03 AM - Katja Luther

Added the field, the preference is still missing.

#4 - 12/16/2022 09:50 AM - Andreas Müller

- Status changed from New to In Progress

- Priority changed from New to Highest

- % Done changed from 0 to 50

#5 - 12/16/2022 02:15 PM - Andreas Müller

- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved

- Assignee changed from Katja Luther to Andreas Müller

- % Done changed from 50 to 70

#6 - 12/19/2022 02:22 PM - Andreas Müller

Katja Luther wrote in [#note-3](#):

Added the field, the preference is still missing.

This seems to be implemented in the meanwhile.

#7 - 12/19/2022 02:32 PM - Andreas Müller

- Status changed from Resolved to Feedback

- Assignee changed from Andreas Müller to Katja Luther

This generally works. During review I only wonder where to put it and how to handle it best.

My suggestion is to move it directly below "Reference" as one should either select a reference OR a specimen for the source. Also we should label "or Specimen" to make clear that it is an alternative and should not be used both (except for the rare case where a specimen is only a figure in a reference but this should be handled differently, there is a ticket for this).

Is it possible to make this "either .. or" mandatory by deleting reference if specimen is selected and vice versa? I could also add a strict validation rule that allows only either reference or specimen (I will do this in [#10194](#)). We should implement this now to avoid that we must handle incorrect data in future.

#8 - 12/20/2022 09:39 AM - Katja Luther

Andreas Müller wrote in [#note-7](#):

This generally works. During review I only wonder where to put it and how to handle it best.

My suggestion is to move it directly below "Reference" as one should either select a reference OR a specimen for the source. Also we should label "or Specimen" to make clear that it is an alternative and should not be used both (except for the rare case where a specimen is only a figure in a reference but this should be handled differently, there is a ticket for this).

Is it possible to make this "either .. or" mandatory by deleting reference if specimen is selected and vice versa? I could also add a strict validation rule that allows only either reference or specimen (I will do this in [#10194](#)). We should implement this now to avoid that we must handle incorrect data in future.

Remove and add elements are always a little bit tricky in rcp, I would implement it with enabling and disabling the fields and adding "or " to the label for this release and for next release I already started implementing the removing and adding of the fields.

#9 - 12/20/2022 10:47 AM - Andreas Müller

Katja Luther wrote in [#note-8](#):

Andreas Müller wrote in [#note-7](#):

This generally works. During review I only wonder where to put it and how to handle it best.

My suggestion is to move it directly below "Reference" as one should either select a reference OR a specimen for the source. Also we should label "or Specimen" to make clear that it is an alternative and should not be used both (except for the rare case where a specimen is only a figure in a reference but this should be handled differently, there is a ticket for this).

Is it possible to make this "either .. or" mandatory by deleting reference if specimen is selected and vice versa? I could also add a strict validation rule that allows only either reference or specimen (I will do this in [#10194](#)). We should implement this now to avoid that we must handle incorrect data in future.

Remove and add elements are always a little bit tricky in rcp, I would implement it with enabling and disabling the fields and adding "or " to the label for this release and for next release I already started implementing the removing and adding of the fields.

Sorry, I was not exact. I didn't mean to remove the field but the value. But enabling and disabling is the much better solution I realize. If this works we can leave it like this.

#10 - 12/20/2022 11:07 AM - Katja Luther

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved

- Assignee changed from Katja Luther to Andreas Müller

Andreas Müller wrote in [#note-9](#):

Katja Luther wrote in [#note-8](#):

Andreas Müller wrote in [#note-7](#):

This generally works. During review I only wonder where to put it and how to handle it best.

My suggestion is to move it directly below "Reference" as one should either select a reference OR a specimen for the source. Also we should label "or Specimen" to make clear that it is an alternative and should not be used both (except for the rare case where a specimen is only a figure in a reference but this should be handled differently, there is a ticket for this).

Is it possible to make this "either .. or" mandatory by deleting reference if specimen is selected and vice versa? I could also add a strict validation rule that allows only either reference or specimen (I will do this in [#10194](#)). We should implement this now to avoid that we must handle incorrect data in future.

Remove and add elements are always a little bit tricky in rcp, I would implement it with enabling and disabling the fields and adding "or " to the label for this release and for next release I already started implementing the removing and adding of the fields.

Sorry, I was not exact. I didn't mean to remove the field but the value. But enabling and disabling is the much better solution I realize. If this works we can leave it like this.

This is implemented now. Please review.

#11 - 12/20/2022 01:31 PM - Andreas Müller

- Status changed from Resolved to Feedback

- Assignee changed from Andreas Müller to Katja Luther

When recreating details view the state is not yet evaluated. So if a reference exists already it is still possible to enter a specimen.

It works fine if you enter a new reference/specimen and do not set the focus elsewhere.

#12 - 12/21/2022 10:09 AM - Katja Luther

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved

- Assignee changed from Katja Luther to Andreas Müller

Andreas Müller wrote in [#note-11](#):

When recreating details view the state is not yet evaluated. So if a reference exists already it is still possible to enter a specimen.

This is fixed.

#13 - 01/03/2023 06:33 PM - Andreas Müller

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

- Assignee changed from Andreas Müller to Katja Luther

- % Done changed from 70 to 100

works as expected now