EDIT - bug #10135

Created_by and when is missing for User and Group

09/02/2022 10:52 AM - Andreas Müller

Status:ClosedStart date:Priority:Priority14Due date:

Assignee: Katja Luther % Done: 90%
Category: taxeditor Estimated time: 0:00 hour

Target version: Release 5.33

Severity: normal Found in Version:

Description

E.g.

Zusatzdaten 🛭 Ref

User

UUID 2bdee27a-542f-421f Object ID

22

Associated revisions

Revision 967f7385 - 09/29/2022 01:44 PM - Katja Luther

fix #10135: add created and createdby to supplemental data of cdmBase

Revision 5d5d125e - 09/29/2022 01:59 PM - Katja Luther

ref #10135: add created and createdby to an extra section to keep it at the same place

Revision a3db6d8c - 09/30/2022 11:49 AM - Katja Luther

ref #10135: remove createSection again and implement it in versionable and cdmBase element

Revision 84cfbeed - 09/30/2022 11:57 AM - Katja Luther

ref #10135: remove createSection again and implement it in versionable and cdmBase element -continue

History

#1 - 09/02/2022 10:53 AM - Andreas Müller

If this is not (very) fast to implement please remove tag and move to other milestone. It is not a critical issue.

#2 - 09/08/2022 12:13 PM - Andreas Müller

- Priority changed from New to Priority14

#3 - 09/29/2022 01:59 PM - Katja Luther

- Status changed from New to Resolved
- % Done changed from 0 to 70

Applied in changeset taxeditor 967f73857a25e5d92aae0450eb1363575cf26bec.

The implementation added a new section "createSection" which contains the created information. This results in a gap between created and updated section. If this is ok I would keep it like it is otherwise we need to implement it a little bit more complicated with a check in the different sections whether the created string is already available (for versionable entities) or not (for cdmBase entities).

#4 - 09/29/2022 02:06 PM - Katja Luther

- Status changed from Resolved to Feedback
- Assignee changed from Katja Luther to Andreas Müller

04/19/2024 1/3

#5 - 09/29/2022 02:35 PM - Andreas Müller

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved

#6 - 09/30/2022 11:05 AM - Andreas Müller

- Status changed from Resolved to Feedback
- Assignee changed from Andreas Müller to Katja Luther

Actually the gap does not look nice and more important it requires more scrolling when trying to read the ID and UUID information (which I use often). So I think we should try to implement it without gab. From my understanding we only need a case distinction which fills "updated" with an empty string or with "not updatable" or something. Isn't this simply 3 lines of code? Or is there something more one has to remind?

#7 - 09/30/2022 11:50 AM - Katja Luther

Andreas Müller wrote in #note-6:

Actually the gap does not look nice and more important it requires more scrolling when trying to read the ID and UUID information (which I use often). So I think we should try to implement it without gab. From my understanding we only need a case distinction which fills "updated" with an empty string or with "not updatable" or something. Isn't this simply 3 lines of code? Or is there something more one has to remind?

It is not much code but needed implementation in two classes. Implemented it this way now.

#8 - 09/30/2022 11:50 AM - Katja Luther

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved
- Assignee changed from Katja Luther to Andreas Müller

#9 - 09/30/2022 05:12 PM - Andreas Müller

- File clipboard-202209301711-qqo4w.png added

Final issue: there is still a relatively large gap before UUID for VersionableEntities:



Can this be reduced same as for CdmBase?

Once this is done we can close the ticket.

#10 - 09/30/2022 05:13 PM - Andreas Müller

- Status changed from Resolved to Feedback
- Assignee changed from Andreas Müller to Katja Luther

#11 - 10/04/2022 10:24 AM - Katja Luther

Andreas Müller wrote in #note-9:

Final issue: there is still a relatively large gap before UUID for VersionableEntities:



Can this be reduced same as for CdmBase?

04/19/2024 2/3

Once this is done we can close the ticket.

But this is the same as before. Then we have to move all elements in one section.

#12 - 10/04/2022 10:59 AM - Andreas Müller

- % Done changed from 70 to 90

Katja Luther wrote in #note-11:

Andreas Müller wrote in #note-9:

...

But this is the same as before. Then we have to move all elements in one section.

OK, I checked against production and it is true that there is the same gap.

So if this is really not a non-brainder to fix then we can leave it as it is. It is not really important and there are more important issues to work on. In this case feel free to close the ticket as it is.

Only if there is a really simple/non-time consuming solution to remove the gap we should try to do it

#13 - 10/07/2022 03:25 PM - Katja Luther

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed

Files

clipboard-202209021052-s0nch.png	2.97 KB	09/02/2022	Andreas Müller
clipboard-202209301711-qqo4w.png	5.57 KB	09/30/2022	Andreas Müller

04/19/2024 3/3